

To be Let.

HOUSE No. 7 in Quin Road, at present in the occupation of the Hon. Justice Patterson. Apply to **DAVID SASSON, SONS & Co.** (1836) Hongkong, 28th November, 1873.

TWO large and commodious HOUSES, Nos. 2 and 3, and a **GODOWN** No. 2A, on Prater Road, Marine lot No. 65. The House No. 2, with its Godown, on the 16th December, 1873. For particulars, apply to the **SPANISH PROCONSUL**, Calle Honda, No. 10, 1m 1890 Hongkong, 20th November, 1873.

OFFICES on the first floor of premises on Pudding's Wharf. Apply to **LAMBERT, ATKINSON & Co.** 1m 1874 Hongkong, 7th November, 1873.

TWO ROOMS in Nialla-Lane. Water and Gas laid on. Apply to **A. MILLAR & Co.** 1m 1892 Hongkong, 31st October, 1873.

THE BLUE BUILDING, situated at Albany Road. Possession from the 1st of November next. Apply to **E. R. BELLING** 1m 1836 Hongkong, 2nd October, 1873.

TO LET, **UPPER MOSQUE TERRACE**, a CORNER HOUSE, with good view; Four Rooms, Bath, Dressing Room, Cooch, House, &c. Water laid on. Rent, Fifty Dollars per month. Apply to **T. G. LINDSEY** 1m 1827 Hongkong, 2nd October, 1873.

TO BE LET OR SOLD, (Possession on 1st November) **ALL THE PREMISES** situated in Pottinger Street and Lyndhurst Terrace, at present in the occupation of D. KUTZNER, Esq., comprising one large and three smaller Houses, and well-served Optum Godown. Apply to **GILMAN & Co.** 1m 1808 Hongkong, 20th September, 1873.

THREE STOREY comfortable HOUSE, in a respectable locality, Elsiewood Road, having three rooms, and a fine view of the whole Harbour. Gas and Water laid on. Apply to **N. L. HOLLYWOOD ROAD** 1m 1461 Hongkong, 4th September, 1873.

TO LET, With Possession on 1st October, **N. O. 14**, Shelley Street, containing Eight Rooms. Rent, \$10 per month. Apply to **RAWLING, MEDLIN & Co.** 1m 1439 Hongkong, 2nd September, 1873.

THE HOUSES Nos. 5, 6, and 12 in Seymour Terrace. Apply to **DAVID SASSON, SONS & Co.** 1m 1338 Hongkong, 15th August, 1873.

TO LET, **COMMODOUS GODOWN AND OFFICE** in Queen's Road. Apply to **LANE, CRAWFORD & Co.** 1m 1147 Hongkong, 15th July, 1873.

FOR RENT, **FIRST-CLASS GRANITE GODOWN**, at Wharf. Apply to **S. E. BURROWS & SONS** 1m 781 Hongkong, 20th May, 1873.

The Daily Press.

IN commenting upon the Khiva expedition recently, the *Spectator* makes some remarks of much interest, as applicable to the idea which has been circulated to some extent at home, and more especially through the columns of the *Pall Mall Gazette*, to the effect that the arguments which have of late years been in preparation in China, are such as may cause apprehension to foreign Powers, should any of them again become involved in a war with this country. The grand fact which has been displayed by the above named event, it considers to be the weakness, moral and physical, of the soldiers of central Asia. "When," it adds, "a new country was conquered, say by CLIVE, with 3,000 men, or a 'hidden' capital entered like Peking, by a few brigades of English and Frenchmen, or a vast State like Persia, compelled to submit to OUDINOT and his few men, or Japan compelled to alter her whole policy by a few warships, the inferiority of the Asiatic organization was acknowledged; but the superiority only altered its locale." But still the idea has always been prevalent that somewhere or other an army would be found in Asia capable of defeating Europeans. This idea, the *Spectator* ridicules as unfounded; but curiously enough, in the portion of the article which we reproduce further on, it seems to have caught something of the nationalist feelings which have of late become the fashion on this score, and speculates whether we may not have some day a severe battle to fight with the Chinese. "What if the Government provided itself with new and heavy guns, light shot batteries, a good desert cavalry, and infantry without numbers, all taught, as it is quite clear the Chinese can be taught, to die steadily at their ranks. Our coolie-corps did that. Could Europe with its vast distances to cross again hope to enter Peking?" It seems really astonishing to read such a speculation in a journal usually so well informed as the *Spectator*. The whole history of China shows that the hypothesis suggested are simply impossible. China never could have a sufficiently large army to offer any resistance worthy of the name to Europeans, for the simple reason that the nation is far too much divided against itself. The "bromineous defeat of the Chinese Mahomedans in Yunnan," of which mention is made as an illustration of Chinese prowess, is certainly a very bad instance in point. The Mahomedans had offered successful resistance to the Chinese for something like ten years, and were only defeated ultimately by stratagem and treachery; and the very fact of their having been so large a province in open rebellion indicates the point at which it would always be impossible in China to organise any military or naval force which could compete with Europeans. No nation is more divided against itself. The existing dynasty is looked upon with detestation by large masses in all parts of China, and the outbreak of a war with a foreign nation would be the signal for rebellion throughout the length and breadth of the land. The secret of the willingness of the coolie corps to fight during the last war, and nothing suited them better than suddenly to find their battles being fought by foreigners; and just in the same way now, if war broke out, there would be a very large proportion of the natives of China in

Supreme Court.

CRIMINAL SESSIONS.
BEFORE THE HON. CHIEF JUSTICE SMITH.

THE "GLENVIEW" CASE.
The Criminal Sessions were resumed yesterday, before a special jury, when William Monrook, a Breton, on board the British steamer *Glenview*, charged the Attorney-General on a charge of robbery of \$450 from a Chinese passenger on board, from Singapore to Hongkong, was brought forward.

Mr. Kingmill, instructed by Messrs. Gold and Eversen, appeared for the defendant. The prisoner pleaded not guilty.

The jury empanelled were Messrs. Thomas A. Harris, N. J. Edin, C. J. Lehn, Thomas Howard, L. Belver, J. Campbell, P. Kirker, and B. Duncan.

The Attorney-General, in opening the case, said the prisoner was a Breton on board the British steamer *Glenview*, and he stood charged with the robbery of a Chinese passenger to the value of \$450, from a passenger named Hea-lion, who had resided in Malacca for years, and was returning via Singapore to Hongkong. It was stated by the witness that Hea-lion, during a typhoon, being giving the date to be the 25th October, but this must be a mistake, as the typhoon did not occur till the 30th, at noon. It was said to have occurred at noon, when the witness was lying down on the deck with the box under his head. It was for the jury to decide what Hea-lion was doing at the evidence he brought before them, and what Hea-lion was doing at the evidence he brought before them.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

Supreme Court.

CRIMINAL SESSIONS.
BEFORE THE HON. CHIEF JUSTICE SMITH.

THE "GLENVIEW" CASE.
The Criminal Sessions were resumed yesterday, before a special jury, when William Monrook, a Breton, on board the British steamer *Glenview*, charged the Attorney-General on a charge of robbery of \$450 from a Chinese passenger on board, from Singapore to Hongkong, was brought forward.

Mr. Kingmill, instructed by Messrs. Gold and Eversen, appeared for the defendant. The prisoner pleaded not guilty.

The jury empanelled were Messrs. Thomas A. Harris, N. J. Edin, C. J. Lehn, Thomas Howard, L. Belver, J. Campbell, P. Kirker, and B. Duncan.

The Attorney-General, in opening the case, said the prisoner was a Breton on board the British steamer *Glenview*, and he stood charged with the robbery of a Chinese passenger to the value of \$450, from a passenger named Hea-lion, who had resided in Malacca for years, and was returning via Singapore to Hongkong. It was stated by the witness that Hea-lion, during a typhoon, being giving the date to be the 25th October, but this must be a mistake, as the typhoon did not occur till the 30th, at noon. It was said to have occurred at noon, when the witness was lying down on the deck with the box under his head. It was for the jury to decide what Hea-lion was doing at the evidence he brought before them, and what Hea-lion was doing at the evidence he brought before them.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

Supreme Court.

CRIMINAL SESSIONS.
BEFORE THE HON. CHIEF JUSTICE SMITH.

THE "GLENVIEW" CASE.
The Criminal Sessions were resumed yesterday, before a special jury, when William Monrook, a Breton, on board the British steamer *Glenview*, charged the Attorney-General on a charge of robbery of \$450 from a Chinese passenger on board, from Singapore to Hongkong, was brought forward.

Mr. Kingmill, instructed by Messrs. Gold and Eversen, appeared for the defendant. The prisoner pleaded not guilty.

The jury empanelled were Messrs. Thomas A. Harris, N. J. Edin, C. J. Lehn, Thomas Howard, L. Belver, J. Campbell, P. Kirker, and B. Duncan.

The Attorney-General, in opening the case, said the prisoner was a Breton on board the British steamer *Glenview*, and he stood charged with the robbery of a Chinese passenger to the value of \$450, from a passenger named Hea-lion, who had resided in Malacca for years, and was returning via Singapore to Hongkong. It was stated by the witness that Hea-lion, during a typhoon, being giving the date to be the 25th October, but this must be a mistake, as the typhoon did not occur till the 30th, at noon. It was said to have occurred at noon, when the witness was lying down on the deck with the box under his head. It was for the jury to decide what Hea-lion was doing at the evidence he brought before them, and what Hea-lion was doing at the evidence he brought before them.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

Supreme Court.

CRIMINAL SESSIONS.
BEFORE THE HON. CHIEF JUSTICE SMITH.

THE "GLENVIEW" CASE.
The Criminal Sessions were resumed yesterday, before a special jury, when William Monrook, a Breton, on board the British steamer *Glenview*, charged the Attorney-General on a charge of robbery of \$450 from a Chinese passenger on board, from Singapore to Hongkong, was brought forward.

Mr. Kingmill, instructed by Messrs. Gold and Eversen, appeared for the defendant. The prisoner pleaded not guilty.

The jury empanelled were Messrs. Thomas A. Harris, N. J. Edin, C. J. Lehn, Thomas Howard, L. Belver, J. Campbell, P. Kirker, and B. Duncan.

The Attorney-General, in opening the case, said the prisoner was a Breton on board the British steamer *Glenview*, and he stood charged with the robbery of a Chinese passenger to the value of \$450, from a passenger named Hea-lion, who had resided in Malacca for years, and was returning via Singapore to Hongkong. It was stated by the witness that Hea-lion, during a typhoon, being giving the date to be the 25th October, but this must be a mistake, as the typhoon did not occur till the 30th, at noon. It was said to have occurred at noon, when the witness was lying down on the deck with the box under his head. It was for the jury to decide what Hea-lion was doing at the evidence he brought before them, and what Hea-lion was doing at the evidence he brought before them.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

The witness further said that the box was washed overboard, and the witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard. The witness was not aware of it until he saw it being washed overboard.

Supreme Court.

Nay, but of a man," said Jupiter. "Yes, the to-morrow's hairs," said the other. "You must take a life," said Jove. "The life of a fish," replied Numa. Jove, diverted with the ingenious answers of Numa, laughed, and said, "See it is, then, that with these thou shalt propitiate my missiles, thou man not to be daunted in a conference with the gods. Moreover, when to-morrow's sun shall have risen I will give thee the sure pledge of my empire." This promise was fulfilled the next day in the fall of the celestial shield, which was supposed to be the sign of the empire. But the emperor said: so that in order that his might not be carried away, Numa caused a number of counterparts to be made, preserving the secret of the true one. The shields were periodically carried through the streets adorned with dancing, festivities, and great rejoicings.—From: *"The Pilgrimage of the Ages,"* by William Davies.

London, or at the principal Ports of India,
China, and Australia.
INSURANCE DEPARTMENT.
Policies issued for long or short periods at
the lowest rates.
A discount of 20% allowed.
LIFE DEPARTMENT.
Policies issued for sums not exceeding £5,000,
on reasonable terms.
HOLLIDAY, WISE & Co.
1363—Hongkong, 26th July, 1872.

Shipped at Lowest Export Prices.
Sole Agents for the "Wanner" and the "Gratham"
Foreign Proprietary Machines for the City of London. Foreign Pro-
prietor Disposed of for a Commission of 24 per cent.
The lists can be had of Messrs. Whentley & Co., Bond-
street, and at the office of the *Englishman* Newspaper,
10, Abchurch Lane.
D. NICHOLSON & Co.,
50, 51, & 53, St. Paul's Churchyard, London,
Telephone No. 115. Not less than 50 per cent. to accompany In-
voice, and Balance against Bill of Lading.
Special advantages to Hotel Keepers and Restaurant
Proprietors. [16] 1650 Regt. St. 18

antha	Young	Brit. str	6
en jui	Poynter	H.T.O.M.	g
eu-to	Robinson	H.T.O.M.	g
ng-Sing	E. Oboy	H.T.O.M.	g
mevava			
-loong	Wright	H.T.O.M.	g
-ho	Clayson	H.T.O.M.	g
wan	Graves	Brit. str	g
g-fong	Cooker	H.T.O.M.	g

52	Olyphant & Co	Shanghai
b.		
b.		
b.		
b.	Customs Shop	
b.		
b.		
b.	A. Heard & Co., Agents	Laid up
b.		

Constante	Span. bg	
uritan	Amr. sb	1
alvadora:	Span. sb	
in Lorenzo	Span. bg	
mona	Amr. sb	1
urrowhawk	Brit. bk	
mitra	Brit. sb	
fiorette	Fren. bk	
igion	Fren. bk	

Printed & Published for the proprietor, by W. H. A.

233	L. Calvo
218	Russell & Sturgis
729	Cuzculla & Co
400	F. Reyes
919	Russell & Sturgis
445	H. A. Harretto & Co
775	Ker & Co
399	Z. I. de Aldenon
111	P. Hubbell & Co

1111. Wyndham Street, Hongkong.